

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/663,599	ZHAO, WEN
	Examiner Saeed S. Mirzadegan	Art Unit 2144

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Saeed S. Mirzadegan. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) \_\_\_\_\_. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 16 August 2007**Time:** 4:30 p.m.**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims, 1, 11, 21*


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 WILLIAM V. VACHON  
 (Examiner/SPE/Supervisory Patent Examiner)  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Authorized to contact the Attorney of record by SPE, William Vaughn.

Authorization for examiners amendment was sought for adding a missing word "on", in line 22 of claim 1 & line 25 of claim 11 and line 26 of claim 21.

Authorization for examiners amendment was provided by Attorney of record, John J. Oskorep (Reg. No. 41,234).